

African Journal of Bacteriology Research

Volume 6 Number 5, December 2014

ISSN 2006-9871



*Academic
Journals*

ABOUT AJBR

The **African Journal of Bacteriology Research (AJBR)** (ISSN 2006-9871) is published Monthly (one volume per year) by Academic Journals.

African Journal of Bacteriology Research (AJBR) is a peer reviewed journal. The journal is published per article and covers all areas of the subject such as: Bacterial physiology, Bacterial flora for human, Prokaryotes of the environment, Bacterial endotoxin, Cell signalling.

Submission of Manuscript

Please read the **Instructions for Authors** before submitting your manuscript. The manuscript files should be given the last name of the first author

[Click here to Submit manuscripts online](#)

If you have any difficulty using the online submission system, kindly submit via this email jbr@academicjournals.org.

With questions or concerns, please contact the Editorial Office at jbr@academicjournals.org.

Editor

Dr. Colleen Olive

*Queensland Institute of Medical Research
PO Royal Brisbane Hospital
Brisbane,
Australia.*

Dr. Lyuba Doumanova

*The Stephan Angeloff Institute of Microbiology
Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Sofia,
Bulgaria.*

Dr. Imtiaz Wani

*S.M.H.S Hospital
Amira Kadal,
India.*

Dr. Aamir Shahzad

*Max F. Perutz Laboratories
University of Vienna
Vienna,
Austria.*

Dr. Ömür Baysal

*West Mediterranean Agricultural Research
Institute (BATEM)
Antalya,
Turkey.*

Associate Editors

Dr. Chang-Gu Hyun

*Jeju Biodiversity Research Institute (JBRI) and Jeju Hi-Tech Industry Development Institute (HiDI)
Jeju,
Korea.*

Dr. Ramasamy Harikrishnan

*Jeju National University
Department of Aquatic Life Medicine
College of Ocean Science
Korea.*

Prof. Salah M. Azwai

*Al Fateh University
Tripoli,
Libya.*

Dr. Osman Radwan

*University of Illinois
Urbana, IL
USA.*

Prof. Abdulghani Alsamarai

*Tikrit University College of Medicine
Tikrit,
Iraq.*

Dr. Nuno Cerca

*University of Minho
Braga,
Portugal.*

Dr. Mohammad Reza Shakibaie

*Department of Microbiology and Immunology
Kerman University of Medical Sciences
Kerman,
Iran.*

Editorial Board

Dr. Bojarajan Senthilkumar

*Institute for Ocean Management
Anna University
Chennai,
India.*

Dr. Gurdeep Rastogi

*Department of Plant Pathology
University of California
Davis, CA
USA.*

Dr. Asis Khan

*Washington University
St. Louis, MO
USA.*

Saikat Kumar Basu

*University of Lethbridge
Lethbridge, AB
Canada.*

Dr. Sivaramaiah Nallapeta

*ONAN Centre for Molecular Investigations
Secunderabad,
India.*

Dr. Yajnavalka Banerjee

*Max-Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry
Goettingen,
Germany.*

Dr. Petr Slama

*Mendel University of Agriculture and Forestry
Department of Animal Morphology, Physiology
and Genetics
Brno,
Czech Republic.*

Dr. Petros V. Vlastarakos

*Lister Hospital
Stevenage,
UK.*

Dr. Lee Seong Wei

*Department Fishery Science and Aquaculture
Faculty Agrotechnology and Food Science
Universiti Malaysia Terengganu
Terengganu,
Malaysia.*

Instructions for Author

Electronic submission of manuscripts is strongly encouraged, provided that the text, tables, and figures are included in a single Microsoft Word file (preferably in Arial font).

The **cover letter** should include the corresponding author's full address and telephone/fax numbers and should be in an e-mail message sent to the Editor, with the file, whose name should begin with the first author's surname, as an attachment.

Article Types

Three types of manuscripts may be submitted:

Regular articles: These should describe new and carefully confirmed findings, and experimental procedures should be given in sufficient detail for others to verify the work. The length of a full paper should be the minimum required to describe and interpret the work clearly.

Short Communications: A Short Communication is suitable for recording the results of complete small investigations or giving details of new models or hypotheses, innovative methods, techniques or apparatus. The style of main sections need not conform to that of full-length papers. Short communications are 2 to 4 printed pages (about 6 to 12 manuscript pages) in length.

Reviews: Submissions of reviews and perspectives covering topics of current interest are welcome and encouraged. Reviews should be concise and no longer than 4-6 printed pages (about 12 to 18 manuscript pages). Reviews are also peer-reviewed.

Review Process

All manuscripts are reviewed by an editor and members of the Editorial Board or qualified outside reviewers. Authors cannot nominate reviewers. Only reviewers randomly selected from our database with specialization in the subject area will be contacted to evaluate the manuscripts. The process will be blind review.

Decisions will be made as rapidly as possible, and the journal strives to return reviewers' comments to authors as fast as possible. The editorial board will re-review manuscripts that are accepted pending revision. It is the goal of the AJFS to publish manuscripts within weeks after submission.

Regular articles

All portions of the manuscript must be typed double-spaced and all pages numbered starting from the title page.

The Title should be a brief phrase describing the contents of the paper. The Title Page should include the authors' full names and affiliations, the name of the corresponding author along with phone, fax and E-mail information. Present addresses of authors should appear as a footnote.

The Abstract should be informative and completely self-explanatory, briefly present the topic, state the scope of the experiments, indicate significant data, and point out major findings and conclusions. The Abstract should be 100 to 200 words in length. Complete sentences, active verbs, and the third person should be used, and the abstract should be written in the past tense. Standard nomenclature should be used and abbreviations should be avoided. No literature should be cited.

Following the abstract, about 3 to 10 key words that will provide indexing references should be listed.

A list of non-standard **Abbreviations** should be added. In general, non-standard abbreviations should be used only when the full term is very long and used often. Each abbreviation should be spelled out and introduced in parentheses the first time it is used in the text. Only recommended SI units should be used. Authors should use the solidus presentation (mg/ml). Standard abbreviations (such as ATP and DNA) need not be defined.

The Introduction should provide a clear statement of the problem, the relevant literature on the subject, and the proposed approach or solution. It should be understandable to colleagues from a broad range of scientific disciplines.

Materials and methods should be complete enough to allow experiments to be reproduced. However, only truly new procedures should be described in detail; previously published procedures should be cited, and important modifications of published procedures should be mentioned briefly. Capitalize trade names and include the manufacturer's name and address. Subheadings should be used. Methods in general use need not be described in detail.

Results should be presented with clarity and precision. The results should be written in the past tense when describing findings in the authors' experiments. Previously published findings should be written in the present tense. Results should be explained, but largely without referring to the literature. Discussion, speculation and detailed interpretation of data should not be included in the Results but should be put into the Discussion section.

The Discussion should interpret the findings in view of the results obtained in this and in past studies on this topic. State the conclusions in a few sentences at the end of the paper. The Results and Discussion sections can include subheadings, and when appropriate, both sections can be combined.

The Acknowledgments of people, grants, funds, etc should be brief.

Tables should be kept to a minimum and be designed to be as simple as possible. Tables are to be typed double-spaced throughout, including headings and footnotes. Each table should be on a separate page, numbered consecutively in Arabic numerals and supplied with a heading and a legend. Tables should be self-explanatory without reference to the text. The details of the methods used in the experiments should preferably be described in the legend instead of in the text. The same data should not be presented in both table and graph form or repeated in the text.

Figure legends should be typed in numerical order on a separate sheet. Graphics should be prepared using applications capable of generating high resolution GIF, TIFF, JPEG or Powerpoint before pasting in the Microsoft Word manuscript file. Tables should be prepared in Microsoft Word. Use Arabic numerals to designate figures and upper case letters for their parts (Figure 1). Begin each legend with a title and include sufficient description so that the figure is understandable without reading the text of the manuscript. Information given in legends should not be repeated in the text.

References: In the text, a reference identified by means of an author's name should be followed by the date of the reference in parentheses. When there are more than two authors, only the first author's name should be mentioned, followed by 'et al'. In the event that an author cited has had two or more works published during the same year, the reference, both in the text and in the reference list, should be identified by a lower case letter like 'a' and 'b' after the date to distinguish the works.

Examples:

Abayomi (2000), Agindotan et al. (2003), (Kelebeni, 1983), (Usman and Smith, 1992), (Chege, 1998;

1987a,b; Tijani, 1993,1995), (Kumasi et al., 2001) References should be listed at the end of the paper in alphabetical order. Articles in preparation or articles submitted for publication, unpublished observations, personal communications, etc. should not be included in the reference list but should only be mentioned in the article text (e.g., A. Kingori, University of Nairobi, Kenya, personal communication). Journal names are abbreviated according to Chemical Abstracts. Authors are fully responsible for the accuracy of the references.

Examples:

Chikere CB, Omoni VT and Chikere BO (2008). Distribution of potential nosocomial pathogens in a hospital environment. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.* 7: 3535-3539.

Moran GJ, Amii RN, Abrahamian FM, Talan DA (2005). Methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in community-acquired skin infections. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 11: 928-930.

Pitout JDD, Church DL, Gregson DB, Chow BL, McCracken M, Mulvey M, Laupland KB (2007). Molecular epidemiology of CTXM-producing *Escherichia coli* in the Calgary Health Region: emergence of CTX-M-15-producing isolates. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 51: 1281-1286.

Pelczar JR, Harley JP, Klein DA (1993). *Microbiology: Concepts and Applications.* McGraw-Hill Inc., New York, pp. 591-603.

Short Communications

Short Communications are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

Proofs and Reprints: Electronic proofs will be sent (e-mail attachment) to the corresponding author as a PDF file. Page proofs are considered to be the final version of the manuscript. With the exception of typographical or minor clerical errors, no changes will be made in the manuscript at the proof stage.

Fees and Charges: Authors are required to pay a \$550 handling fee. Publication of an article in the African Journal of Bacteriology Research is not contingent upon the author's ability to pay the charges. Neither is acceptance to pay the handling fee a guarantee that the paper will be accepted for publication. Authors may still request (in advance) that the editorial office waive some of the handling fee under special circumstances

Copyright: © 2014, Academic Journals.

All rights Reserved. In accessing this journal, you agree that you will access the contents for your own personal use but not for any commercial use. Any use and or copies of this Journal in whole or in part must include the customary bibliographic citation, including author attribution, date and article title.

Submission of a manuscript implies: that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, or thesis) that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere; that if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to the publisher.

Disclaimer of Warranties

In no event shall Academic Journals be liable for any special, incidental, indirect, or consequential damages of any kind arising out of or in connection with the use of the articles or other material derived from the AJBR, whether or not advised of the possibility of damage, and on any theory of liability.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications does not imply endorsement of that product or publication. While every effort is made by Academic Journals to see that no inaccurate or misleading data, opinion or statements appear in this publication, they wish to make it clear that the data and opinions appearing in the articles and advertisements herein are the responsibility of the contributor or advertiser concerned. Academic Journals makes no warranty of any kind, either express or implied, regarding the quality, accuracy, availability, or validity of the data or information in this publication or of any other publication to which it may be linked.

ARTICLES

Isolation And Identification Of *Mannhemia* And *Pasturella* Species From Pneumonic And Apparently Healthy Cattle And Their Antibiogram Susceptibility Pattern In Bedelle District, Western Ethiopia

Dereje Abera, Tesfaye Sisay and Tadesse Birhanu

Full Length Research Paper

Isolation and identification of *Mannheimia* and *Pasteurella* species from pneumonic and apparently healthy cattle and their antibiogram susceptibility pattern in Bedelle District, Western Ethiopia

Dereje Abera¹, Tesfaye Sisay² and Tadesse Birhanu^{1*}

¹School of Veterinary Medicine, College of Medical and Health Sciences, Wollega University, P.O. Box 395, Nekemte, Ethiopia.

²Unit of Health Biotechnology, Institute of Biotechnology, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, Addis Ababa University, P.O.Box 34, Debre Zeit, Ethiopia.

Received 1 October, 2014; Accepted 6 December, 2014

The study was conducted from October 2012 to April 2013 at Bedelle district, Western Ethiopia. The aim was to isolate *Mannheimia* and *Pasteurella* species, and to assess the associated risk factors from pneumonic and apparently healthy cattle, and antibiotic susceptibility profiles of the isolates. Out of 329 samples from animals (185 nasal swabs from clinic and 144 lung tissues abattoir) examined, 50.2% was found to be positive to the disease. The bacteriological examination revealed 28 (8.51%) overall isolates of *Mannheimia haemolytica* (46.4%), *Pasteurella multocida* (39.3%) and *Bibersteina trehalosi* (14.3%) were recovered respectively in which 17 (9.19%) and 11 (7.63%) bacterial isolates were obtained from nasal swabs and pneumonic lungs, respectively. The higher isolation rate of *M. haemolytica* indicated it as the major cause in the study area. Age was found to be the potential risk factor in which young animals were highly affected. The antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of the isolates were carried out using disc diffusion method. The isolates were susceptible to most of the antibiotic disks used: amoxicillin, chloramphenicol, cephalixin, polymyxin-B, kanamycin and florifenicol. However, moderate resistance was observed to Tetracycline, Erythromycin and Penicillin-G. Thus, an integrated application of overall management and vaccination should be implemented as prevention and control measures.

Key words: Bedelle, cattle, *Mannheimia*, *Pasteurella*, pneumonic pasteurellosis, antimicrobial susceptibility test.

INTRODUCTION

Ethiopia has diverse animal genetic resource and its relatively large livestock population (approximately 100 million) is well adapted to and distributed among diverse ecological condition and management system (Aiello and

May, 1998). In Ethiopia, like many developing countries, livestock play multiple roles. Despite the huge number of cattle and economic importance, the productivity is low due to the constraints of disease, nutrition, poor manage-

ment and poor performance of the indigenous breeds. These constraints result in poor reproductive performance of these animals (Lobago et al., 2006). On the basis of statistics acquired from different sources, livestock provides 16% of the total GDP (equivalent to 30% of agricultural GDP) and generates 14% of the country's foreign exchange earnings (Central Statistical Agency, 2009).

Disease constraints like respiratory diseases contribute to the great financial losses and the socio-economic development of poor farmers in the area. These diseases cause a huge mortality and morbidity (Zewdie, 2004). The disease occurs in food animals due to complex factors that often interact to produce disease. Various conditions such as climate, weather, weaning, transportation, poorly ventilated housing and nutritional deficiencies are known to play a predisposing role as the animal's immunity weakens. In such conditions, occurrence of the normal flora of the upper respiratory tract and subsequent infection of the lungs is well documented (Radostitis et al., 2000).

Respiratory tract infections are of common occurrence in various species of domestic animals. However, pneumonic pasteurellosis, also known as respiratory mannheimiosis, is most common example with a wide prevalence in ruminants. The disease in its typical clinical form, is highly infectious, often fatal and with very serious economic mortality in many animals in which the disease accounts for approximately 30% of the total cattle deaths worldwide (Baundreaux, 2004).

The term pasteurellosis was broadly used to designate a number of infections in domestic animals caused by Gram-negative non-motile facultative anaerobic rods or coccobacilli formerly grouped under the genus *Pasteurella* (after Louis Pasteur). For several decades, the genus *Pasteurella* was believed to be only one single genus with numerous species infection of farm animals, particularly in ruminants (Angen et al., 1999b).

However, with more recent advancements in molecular biology involving DNA hybridization studies and 16S rRNA sequencing, most of the formerly recognized species were found to share a number of common features and become the subject of intensive revision and reclassification. In this respect, *Pasteurella haemolytica*, biotype A was allocated to a new genus and renamed *Mannheimia*. This new genus contains several species including *Mannheimia haemolytica*, *Mannheimia granulomatis*, *Mannheimia glucosida*, *Mannheimia ruminans* and *Mannheimia varigena*. The name *Mannheimia* was given in tribute to the German Scientist Welter Mannheimia for his significant contributions in the recent taxonomy of the family Pasteurellaceae. On the other hand, *Pasteurella haemolytica* biotype T was first reclass-

sified as *Pasteurella trehalosi*. In addition avian *Pasteurella* species including *Pasteurella gallinarum*, *Pasteurella paragallinarum* and *Pasteurella volantium* were similarly removed to new separate genus named as Avibacterium (Blackall et al., 2005).

Before the establishment of this newly revised classification, *P. haemolytica* was known to comprise two bio-types A and T, based on fermentation of arabinose and trehalose respectively. Within these two biotypes, 17 serotypes were further identified on the basis of soluble or extractable surface antigen by passive hemagglutination test (Carter and Cole, 1990). Serotypes 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16 and 17 belong to biotype A which was reclassified as *M. haemolytica*. However, serotype 11 was later reclassified as *M. glucosida*. The rest of serotypes (3, 4, 10 and 15) which belong to biotype T finally moved to separate new genus as mentioned. It is worth mentioning that *M. haemolytica*, *P. multocida* and *P. trehalosi* (*Bibersteina*) constitute the most important members of the family Pasteurellaceae that pose serious hazards in livestock industry. These species are commensally resident in the animal body as normal constituents of the nasopharyngeal micro flora and are all capable of causing infection when the body defense mechanisms are impaired. Their presence is mainly confined to ruminants with most adequately characterized strains originating from cattle, sheep and goats (Biberstein and Hirsh, 1999).

P. multocida, is associated with hemorrhagic septicemia in cattle and buffaloes and enzootic pneumonia complex in young ruminants (Jones et al., 1997). Concurrent infections of the respiratory tract by viruses, bacteria and lung worms have been described and such disease conditions are commonly known as respiratory disease complex (RDC), indicating the difficulty to attribute to only one etiology (Biberstein and Hirsh, 1999; Quninn et al., 2002). In the cool central highlands of Ethiopia, respiratory disease complex has been identified as leading. Irregular and insufficient vaccination program for diseases such as pasteurellosis and Pest des Petites Ruminant (PPR), lack of strategic mass drenching against lung worms and occurrence of viral infections may play significant roles in the persistence of respiratory disease complex in Ethiopia.

Even though pneumonic pasteurellosis is one of the most economically important infectious diseases of cattle in Ethiopia (Mohamed and Abdelsalam, 2008), there was lack of information on this regards in Southern Ethiopia especially in study area. Hence, the study was designed to isolate and identify Mannheimia and Pasteurella species that invade the lower respiratory tract of cattle causing pneumonic pasteurellosis, to assess potential associated risk factors and determine their antibiogram

*Corresponding author. E-mail: drbirhan@yahoo.com.

susceptibility pattern from pneumonic and apparently healthy cattle and in Bedelle District, Western Ethiopia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The study was conducted from October 2013 to April 2014 in the selected, Illubabor Zone, in Bedelle District of Oromia regional state, Western Ethiopia. The area is located 480 km from the capital city, Addis Ababa, to the West, along the way to Metu. The area is geographically positioned at an altitude of 1900-2000 m above sea-level (m.a.s) and 8-9° N latitude and 36-37°E longitude. The mean annual rain fall in the area is 1500 mm. The mean seasonal temperature varies from 20-25°C from October to January and decline to a level of 15-25°C during the rest of the months (Central Statistical Agency, 2009).

The area is within an agro-ecological zone and is prone to high rainfall and multi-species animal is preferred and most practiced system of livestock production. The area is among the known coffee-growing in the country. Agriculture is the main livelihood in the area in which cattle and sheep are kept as the major livestock which are highly important for the livelihood of the local population. The rearing system of cattle in study sites depends on natural grass and crop residues that are kept in traditional management system.

Study animals

The study population constitutes indigenous zebu cattle of varies sex, age groups, body conditions scores and managed under smallholder mixed crop-livestock farming system which are kept under traditional extensive husbandry system with communal grazing and watering points.

This study included all cattle with respiratory signs presented to the Bedelle Veterinary Clinic and/or site of veterinary clinics found in the District. For comparative study, live animals without pneumonic signs and non-pneumonic lungs from slaughter house were also included in the study. The study was conducted on local breed cattle selected from the district and 329 study animals were sampled. The origin, sex, age and body condition scores of the animals were the potential variables used to associate with the prevalence rate.

Sample collection

Each study animal was individually identified and restrained by an assistant and kept fixed before the sampling procedures. A total of 185 nasal swabs were collected aseptically out of which clinically pneumonic cattle (n=96) from animals showed respiratory signs such as an irregular breathing pattern and grunting on expiration, coughing, a serous nasal discharge, apparently healthy ones (n=89) for comparison purpose. All samples were processed bacteriologically.

The methods followed were based on the procedures of Sisay and Zerihun (2003). Sterile cotton-tipped, 20-25 cm long nasal swabs, moistened in sterile tryptose soya broth, were directed via the ventral nasal meatus in to nasopharynx, that is, the swabs were carefully inserted into nostril; rolled gently; put back to the test tube containing broth; and the tubes were capped.

The swabs were then kept in an ice box. The specimens collected were transported to Bedelle Regional Laboratory for bacteriological analysis. At the time of sample collection aseptic procedures were implemented to avoid getting contamination from the external nares; thus, the external nares were decontaminated

with cotton soaked with 70% ethanol. A total of 144 lung tissue samples from both non-pneumonic (n=75) and pneumonic (n=69) from slaughtered animals were collected immediately after slaughter. The samples were placed in separate sterile universal bottles, labeled and kept cooled in the ice box and transported to the laboratory. Sampling method used was purposive sampling and comparative.

In addition, data were gathered from owners by using structured questionnaire survey to assess the risk factors for pneumonia in the study area. Finally, data gathered were sorted and analyzed using standard methods.

Sample processing and culturing

Nasal swab samples were streaked on sheep blood agar plates and incubated at 37°C for 24 to 72 h. The same samples were parallelly streaked on MacConkey agar for primary differentiation of the pathogen following standard procedures. Colonies were characterized and those giving Gram-negative coccobacilli or short rods with or without bipolar staining on smears were subcultured for identification. A 24 h pure *Pasteurella* suspected culture (isolate) was subjected to biochemical tests using standard procedures.

A section of lung samples were aseptically taken from the edge of the lesion in the case of pneumonic cases and the samples were processed before inoculation into appropriate media as follows: the surface of the lung tissue passed through the Bunsen burner several times to avoid surface contamination and transferred to Petri dish. Then the surface was heated with spatula and incised and minced with sterile forceps, scalpel blade. Then the tissue specimens were placed in to screw capped test tubes containing TSB and was incubated for 2 h at 37°C and then streaked on to both blood agar plate (BAP, 5% blood in blood agar base) and MacConkey agar plates. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The grown colonies were characterized and subcultured on nutrient agar plate to get pure culture for further biochemical tests following standard protocol (Quninn et al., 2002).

Isolation and identification of bacterial isolates

To obtain pure cultures of a single bacterial colonies type from blood agar and MacConkey agar only, bacterial colonies characteristics of rod, coccobacilli, rough or smooth, Gram negative, with or with no growth on MacConkey, presence or absence hemolysis on blood agar were subjected to sub culturing. Then, each bacterial colony was characterized and further bacterial identification was made using series of primary and secondary biochemical tests following standard procedures (Quninn et al., 2002).

Bacterial cultures were firstly characterized based on primary identification characteristics such as the cellular morphology of the bacteria; Gram staining, oxidase, catalase and oxidation fermentation tests to determine the genus of the bacterial isolates. After, pure colonies were obtained, transferred to nutrient agar media.

Furthermore, species of the bacterial isolates were determined using a variety of secondary biochemical tests. Indole production and motility was tested in SIM medium, hydrogen sulphide production was tested on triple iron sugar agar and SIM medium. Fermentation reactions for sugars (glucose, lactose, maltose, mannitol, trehalose and arabinose) were conducted according to the procedure described by Quninn et al. (2002). All activities from streaking primary media to secondary biochemical tests were conducted for final identification of bacterial isolates to the species level. *P. multocida* on blood agar had colonies non-hemolytic round, smooth or mucoid, all the isolates failed to grow on MacConkey on the basis of Gram staining, the isolates were found to be Gram negative, coccobacillary rods with or without bipolar

Table 1. The overall prevalence of *Pasteurellaceae* in Bedelle District, Western Ethiopia.

Total animal examined	+ve animals	Prevalence
Pneumonic (nasal swab)	96	58.2%
Pneumonic (lung tissues)	69	41.8%
Total (329)	165	50.2%

staining on primary identification. *M. haemolytica* and *B. trehalosi* were forms round, smooth, translucent, greyish with β - distinct zone of hemolysis on blood agar, grows on MacConkey agar. Therefore, the isolates were identified to the species level based on the series of results obtained from the primary identification to secondary biochemical test.

In vitro antimicrobial sensitivity test

Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of the isolates were determined using standard disc diffusion methods as described by Kirby -Bauer on Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with defibrinated 5% sheep blood. The antibiotic susceptibility tests of *Pasteurella* species from pure culture was carried out by standard disk diffusion methods and interpreted according to the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS) guidelines (NCCLS, 1999). The following common antimicrobials applied in the country were assayed: chloramphenicol (CAF 30 g), erythromycin, florfenicol (FFC-30Ng), penicillin- G (10 IU), tetracycline (TTC-30 Ng), polymixin-B (30 g), amoxicillin (Amox-20 Ng), kanamycin (30 g), and cephalixin (30 g).

About 4-5 similar colonies from the blood agar were suspended in a propagating medium of nutrient broth and incubated for 2-8 h duration of incubation then the bacterium culture was taken and resuspended into saline water then it was adjusted until similar to 0.5 McFarland standards according to the guide line (NCCLS, 1999). Using sterile cotton swab with applicator stick, the suspended bacteria from the broth was uniformly distributed on the surface of Muller Hinton agar plate, containing 5% of sheep blood, at three different planes.

The plates were dried for some minutes by putting upside down. Antibiotic discs were applied on the plate using sterile forceps ensuring complete contact with the agar surface and 4-5 discs regularly placed in one plate 3 cm apart and 1.5 cm from the edge antibiotic impregnated paper discs and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 8-12 h. Finally, the diameters of the zone of inhibition was measured using a transparent ruler to the nearest mm. Based on the zone of inhibition, the isolates were categorized descriptively as resistant, intermediate and susceptible.

Questionnaire survey

A structured questionnaire with the primary objective of eliciting the multifactorial predisposing factors of pneumonic pasteurellosis was conducted. The information was collected with those pertinent questions about: risks associated respiratory problems of cattle in the study area.

In this study, structured questionnaires was prepared and administered to the livestock owners and animal health practitioners. The questionnaire was pre-tested on some farmers to assess the response rate and consistency of replies as well as to monitor any source of bias in the course of interview. Data on animal transportation, management, feed type, weather condition and stress causing factors was collected.

Data management and analysis

The collected data was coded and entered into Microsoft Excel, 2007 spread sheet. The data were analyzed using SAS software (SAS Version 9.2). Descriptive statistics called person Chi-square test was used to determine the statistical significance for categorical data. The data were also summarized using comparison of frequency, percentages (EplInfo, 6.04), drawing graphs. In addition, other statistical associations were also to summarize generated data on the rate of isolation, identification and sensitivity patterns difference.

The effect of age, adverse environmental condition, vaccination, nutritional and immune status observed through the questionnaire survey were interpreted and summarized. For data analyzed statistically, confidence level was held at 95% and $P < 0.05$ was set for significance.

RESULTS

Out of 329 animals examined, 50.2% were found to be positive to the disease during the study period. The overall isolates were 28 (8.51%) with *M. haemolytica* (46.4%), *P. multocida* (39.3%) and *B. trehalosi* (14.3%) recovered on bacteriological analysis respectively. The culture results of samples from nasopharyngeal swabs (185) of pneumonic and non-pneumonic cattle and lung tissue (144) were taken from apparently healthy slaughtered cattle (Table 1 and 2).

Bacteriological isolation

From 185 nasal swab samples examined from respiratory tracts of cattle, 8 (4.32%), 6 (3.24%) and 3 (1.62%) of *P. multocida*, *M. haemolytica* and *B. trehalosi* were isolated respectively. While 144 lung tissue samples were collected from Bedelle Municipal Abattoir, 3 (2.08%), 7 (4.86%) and 1 (0.69%) of *P. multocida*, *M. haemolytica* and *B. trehalosi* were isolated. The total culture results of isolates from both the nasal swabs and lung tissues were 8.51% that comprises three different bacterial species (Table 3).

Among 96 nasal swabs collected from cattle showing respiratory signs, 15 (15.6%) were positive for *Pasteurella* and *Mannheimia* species. Whereas out of 89 nasal swabs collected from apparently healthy cattle, only 2 (2.25%) were positive. From the total of 17 (9.2%) bacterial species isolated, nasal swabs originated from both pneumonic and healthy animals.

Of 144 lung tissues sampled from apparently healthy slaughtered cattle, 75 of the lung tissues were non-pneumonic and neither *Pasteurella* nor *Mannheimia* species were isolated. Although, the remaining 69 lung tissues were pneumonic and yield 11 (15.9%) isolates was recorded. The recovery rate of the bacterial isolates from animals showing signs of respiratory tract and non-pneumonic cattle of nasal swabs and lung tissues originated samples showed variation with higher rate of isolates from nasal swab as shown in Table 4.

Table 2. Summary of total isolate with corresponding health status and total sample processed.

Health status	Type of samples		Total sample	Total Isolate
	Nasal swabs	Lung tissues		
Pneumonic	96 (51.9%)	69 (47.9%)	165(50.2%)	26 (92.9%)
Non-pneumonic	89 (48.1%)	75(52.1%)	164 (49.8%)	2 (7.14%)
Total	185	144	329	28

Table 3. Distribution of *Pasteurellaceae* species isolated from nasal swabs and lung tissues of cattle in and around Bedelle.

Types of sample	<i>Pasteurellaceae</i> species isolated			Total
	<i>P. multocida</i>	<i>M. haemolytica</i>	<i>B. trehalosi</i>	
Nasal Swab	8 (4.32%)	6 (3.24%)	3 (1.62%)	17 (9.19%)
Lung tissues	3 (2.08%)	7 (4.86%)	1 (0.69%)	11 (7.63%)
Total	11 (3.34%)	13 (3.95%)	4 (1.22%)	28 (8.51%)

Table 4. Comparison on the recovery rate of bacterial isolates with respiratory signs and apparently healthy cattle.

Health status of animal	Type of samples	No. of samples	No. of +ve	(%) of +ve
Pneumonic cattle	Nasal swabs	96	15	15.6
Non-pneumonic	Nasal swabs	89	2	2.25
Apparently healthy	Lung tissue	75	0	0.00
Pneumonic	Lung tissue	69	11	15.9
Total		329	28	8.51

Cultural characteristics of isolates

On blood agar, the colonies of *P. multocida* isolates were non-hemolytic, round, smooth or mucoid. All *P. multocida* isolates were Gram negative, coccobacillary and did not grow on MacConkey agar. Whereas, *B. trehalosi* and *M. haemolytica* were able to grow on MacConkey agar and showed β -hemolysis on blood agar also grow as pin point red colonies.

Biochemical characteristics of the isolates

The biochemical test carried out showed that all the isolates were positive for oxidase, catalase and able to ferment glucose, mannitol, sucrose mannose. All *Mannheimia* species ferment mannitol, glucose, maltose, arabinose and sucrose except trehalose.

M. haemolytica isolates were positive for catalase and oxidase. *B. trehalosi* unlike others can ferment trehalose but not arabinose and lactose. It was found to be catalase; indole and urease negative (Table 5).

The comparison result of isolated bacterial species

between pneumonic and non-pneumonic lung tissues showed 3 (2.08), 7 (4.86) and 1 (0.69) of *P. multocida*, *M. haemolytica* and *B. trehalosi*, respectively with none of them isolated from of non-pneumonic lung tissues. There were no bacteria isolates revealed from samples of non-pneumonic lung tissues. However, 11 (7.63%) of the isolates were characterized from pneumonic lung (Table 6).

Antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of the bacterial isolates

The antibiotic susceptibility tests of bacterial species isolated during the study period from nasal swabs and lung tissues as carried out by disc diffusion method showed that almost *M. haemolytica* (n=13) were found to be sensitive to most of the drugs tested such as: florfenicol, amoxicillin, polymyxin B, kanamycin, cephalixin and chloramphenicol. However, moderate resistance was noted against erythromycin, penicillin and tetracycline.

The antimicrobial susceptibility tests conducted for *P.*

Table 5. Results of biochemical characteristics of bacterial species isolated from cattle.

Characteristics (tests)	Species		
	<i>M. haemolytica</i>	<i>P. multocida</i>	<i>B. trehalosi</i>
Haemolysis	+	-	+
Growth on MacConkey	+	-	+
Catalase	+	+	+
Oxidase	+	+	+
Indole	-	+	-
Urease	-	-	-
Lactose	+	-	+
Sucrose	+	+	+
Glucose	+	+	+
Maltose	+	-	+
Mannose	+	+	+
Arabinose	+	-	-
Mannitol	+	+	+
Trehalose	-	-	+

Table 6. The distribution of bacterial isolates from the lung tissue samples.

Bacterial isolate	Pneumonic lungs		Non pneumonic lungs	
	No. of isolate	Isolate (%)	No. of isolate	Isolate (%)
<i>P. multocida</i>	3	2.08	0	0
<i>M. haemolytica</i>	7	4.86	0	0
<i>B. trehalosi</i>	1	0.69	0	0

multocida also indicated that florfenicol, chloramphenicol, kanamycin, cephalexin, polymyxin and amoxicillin were the most effective antibiotics. Similarly, antibiogram profile of *B. trehalosi* revealed that all isolates were susceptible to most of the drugs tested except against penicillin-G, erythromycin and tetracycline.

The antimicrobial susceptibility test result indicated that the bacterial isolates were more susceptible to six antibiotic discs used even if the degrees of susceptibility vary (Table 7). In this study, there was statistical significant difference between age and the occurrence of the disease.

The isolated bacteria indicate young cattle, 13 (92.9%) were more susceptible to pneumonic pasteurellosis than adult cattle, 2 (66.7%) (Table 8).

Questionnaire survey

The questionnaire survey was conducted to determine various aspects of bovine pneumonic pasteurellosis in the study areas. The result shows respiratory disease as the most important disease problem. In addition, the

associated risk factors with bovine pneumonic pasteurellosis were identified by the respondents.

Among the assessed risk factors, age was one of the most predisposing factors to pneumonic pasteurellosis in which young were found to be more susceptible than adults according to the respondents. The main stresses causing factors were indicated by the respondents such as climatic change (80.0%), previous sickness (64.3%), feed shortage (37.0%), drought (23.7%) and commonly, dry season (53.5%) (Table 9).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the overall prevalence of bovine pneumonic pasteurellosis was found to be 50.2% in which 58.2 and 41.8% were recorded at clinic and abattoir, respectively. The finding was slightly lower than that of Aschalew (1998) and Tesfaye (1997) who reported 63.8 and 67.6%, respectively. However, the result was higher than that of Tilaye (2010) who reported 28.4%. This might be due to the different ways of taking sample from purely pneumonic cattle, improved health

Table 7. Summary of antimicrobial sensitivity test result descriptively.

Bacterial isolate	PG	E	K	TTC	CAF	CP	PB	F	A
<i>P. multocida</i>	6(S)	7 (S)	11(S)	6(S)	11(S)	11 (S)	11 (S)	11 (S)	11(S)
<i>M. haemolytica</i>	7(S)	9 (S)	13(S)	7(S)	13 (S)	13(S)	13 (S)	13(S)	13(S)
<i>B. trehalosi</i>	2(S)	2 (S)	4 (S)	3 (S)	4 (S)	4(S)	4(S)	4 (S)	4 (S)

Where (S) is = Number of bacterial isolate susceptible to the corresponding antibiotic discs used. CAF = chloramphenicol, TTC = tetracycline, E = erythromycin, K = kanamycin, A = amoxicillin, PG = penicillin, PB = polymyxin-B, F = florifenicol, CP = cephalixin.

Table 8. Summary of isolation rate with age group.

Age group	Health status	Types of Isolates (%)			Total
		<i>M. haemolytica</i>	<i>P. multocida</i>	<i>B. trehalosi</i>	
Young	Healthy	-	1 (7.14%)	-	1 (7.14)
	Pneumonic	5 (35.7)	5 (35.7%)	3 (21.5%)	13 (92.9)
Adult	Healthy	-	1 (33.3)	-	1 (33.3)
	Pneumonic	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	-	2 (66.7)

$\chi^2=8.59$ Where, χ^2 = Chi- square; P-value =0.04.

facilities, laboratory facilities and predisposing factors.

The prevalence of *M. haemolytica* was almost similar both at abattoir (4.86%) and clinic (3.24%). *P. multocida* was discovered from nasal swabs and lung tissues. Pneumonic pasteurellosis was only positively associated with the age of suspected bovine particularly *P. multocida*.

This result is lower than the findings of Eshetu (1991), Nurhusein (2005), Mohammed (1999) and Radostitis et al. (1994) that were reported as 13, 8.7, 40.8 and 56% respectively in pneumonic lungs. This difference might be due to the type of sample taken from purely pneumonic lung tissues and improved health facilities in the current study area.

B. trehalosi was also isolated both from nasal swab (1.62%) and lung tissues (0.69%). This was in agreement with the report of Tesfaye (1997) and Tilaye (2010) in which the species contributes in the occurrence of RDC. The pasteurella and Mannheimia species were isolated from nasal swabs as well as lung tissues, however, the isolation rate varies.

In the present study, the overall isolates were 28 (8.51%) with *M. haemolytica* (46.4%), *P. multocida* (39.3%) and *B. trehalosi* (14.3%) were recovered on bacteriological analysis respectively. Comparing the three *Pasteurella* species, *M. haemolytica* (46.4%) was the major causative agent involved in bovine pneumonic pasteurellosis. This was consistent with previous reports (Tefaye, 1997; Tilaye, 2010; Eshetu, 1991; Mohammed, 1999).

M. haemolytica, which is a normal flora of the upper respiratory tract, may play a secondary role after the primary initiating agent suppressed the host defense

mechanism, and favors the multiplication of *Pasteurella* species leading to bronchopneumonia in purely pneumonic animal (Aiello and May, 1998). Although the percentage isolation of *B. trehalosi* was relatively low (14.3%), it plays great role in the etiology and pathogenesis of bovine pneumonia should not be under estimated.

According to studies carried out by Sisay and Zerihun (2003) the prevalence of *M. haemolytica* and *P. trehalosi*, 75% from nasal swabs of apparently sheep, in the high lands of Wollo from local abattoir 205 lungs were investigated (34%) which showed pneumonia, *Mannheimia* and *Pasteurella* were isolated from 59% of these pneumonic lungs and 69% of the respective tonsils. However, the present study shows lower rate of isolation of these species of bacteria. This lower rate of isolation of *Mannheimia* species and *Pasteurella* species may be due to the difference in epidemiological area and as well the anatomical structure of study sampling in addition to species study variation. Also, this higher isolation rate by the previous studies might be attributed also to the type of sample taken which were purely pneumonic, variations in the animal species in different study areas.

Comparing the three bacterial species, *M. haemolytica* constituted higher percentage of the total isolates, this implies that *M. haemolytica* is the major causative agent involved in bovine pasteurellosis. This finding was consistent with other previous reports (Aschalew, 1998; Tesfaye, 1997; Mohammed, 1999; Daniel et al., 2006) that indicated *Mannheimia* and *Pasteurella* species are the causative agent of pneumonic pasteurellosis in most animal species of all climatic zones in which ruminants are usually asymptomatic carriers (Thomson, 1998). *M.*

Table 9. Summary of the results of questionnaire survey.

Variable		Frequency	Percentage (%)
Management system	Extensive	151	81.6
	Semi intensive	34	18.4
	Intensive	0	0.00
Previous History Sickness	Yes	119	64.3
	No	66	35.7
Predisposing factors	Weaning	24	13.0
	Shipping	29	15.6
	Shortage of feed	68	37.0
	Drought and Crowding	44	23.7
	Unknown	20	10.7
Drenching of drugs	Yes	72	38.9
	No	113	61.1
History of stress before became sick	Yes	127	68.7
	No	58	31.3
Major stress causing factor	Transportation	19	10.3
	Housing system	18	9.70
	Climatic change	70	80.0
	Unknown	78	42.2
Season	Dry season	99	53.5
	Rainy season	86	46.5
Frequency of out break	Frequently	71	38.4
	Not Frequently	114	61.6
Seriousness of the disease	Very serious	138	74.6
	Moderate	45	24.3
	Not as such serious	2	1.10
Control method applied	Antibiotic	64	34.6
	Vaccination	67	36.2
	Improving management	54	29.2

haemolytica and *P. multocida* are considered to be normal inhabitants of nasopharyngeal mucosa (Ames et al., 2002) but not of the lung and considered to be opportunistic pathogens. *M. haemolytica* is considered to be the major cause of BRD but it is rarely isolated on nasal swabs in healthy animals. Similarly, the present study result also revealed neither *Pasteurella* nor *Mannheimia* species were isolated from the non-pneumonic lung but only isolates of *P. multocida* were obtained from nasal swabs of nonclinical cases. *M. haemolytica* has been known to be the main bacterial

agent responsible for the lung infection and has been known to be higher in acute pneumonia (Daniel et al., 2006).

In this study, higher rate of infection was associated with young age groups as compared to adults ($p < 0.05$). This might be due to the immune status of the animal being able to predispose to the bacterial infection and other predisposing etiological agents. Furthermore, antimicrobial sensitivity patterns of the isolates were determined in this study. All the isolates (*P. multocida*, *M. haemolytica* and *B. trehalosi*) were susceptible to most of the antibiotics

discs used. Bacterial resistance to antibiotic is becoming a subject of interest and attention of these days. However, moderate resistance against penicillin-G, erythromycin and tetracycline was noted. About half of *M. haemolytica* and of *P. multocida* isolates showed resistance against penicillin-G. Also some of *M. haemolytica*, *P. multocida* and about half of *B. trehalosi* did not show susceptibility to Erythromycin. As the result obtained indicates, the therapeutic success could be achieved using most of antibiotics in the study area. The finding was in line with the previous report (Disassa et al., 2013).

The quality of questionnaire is an important tool in individual cases detection. In the present study, the predisposing factors in the initiation of pneumonic pasteurellosis (Jones et al., 1997) which might be due to reduced immune status of the animal was surveyed based on the questionnaire. Accordingly, the main stresses causing factors were indicated by the respondents such as climatic change (80.0 %), previous sickness (64.3%), feed shortage (37.0%), drought (23.7%) and common in dry season (53.5%). This was in agreement with the previous findings (Bruere et al., 2002).

In the present study, the seasonal variation of the isolates was not determined due to shortage of time. Moreover, even if identification of serotypes is so important, it was not conducted due to lack of laboratory facilities.

However, the study has the following strengths: the sample was collected from both abattoir and clinic which shows the reliability of the data, the isolates were obtained from pneumonic bovine that made us compare the clinical case with ethological agents, all laboratory works were followed standard procedures and quality control was exhibited in each step of the work.

Conclusion

Generally, pneumonic pasteurellosis was the major disease of cattle in the study area and *M. haemolytica* is the most common cause. Young animals were the risk factor for the disease. It also demonstrated that pneumonic pasteurellosis is a highly complex multifactorial disease particularly in cattle which could be associated with stress, compromised immunity, adverse environmental condition, previous illness (co-infection), misuse of traditional medicine and this made the control of pasteurellosis more difficult.

Furthermore, the antibiotic sensitivity tests of the isolates showed them to be susceptible to most of the drugs. However, the isolates showed resistance to some of the antibiotic disks. Moreover, there must be an integrated management system, vaccination, controlling of the predisposing factors and use of broad spectrum antimicrobials as a prophylactic, and treating of sick animals is suggested.

Conflict of Interests

The author(s) have not declared any conflict of interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Oromia Regional Veterinary Laboratory, Bedelle Veterinary Clinic, Bedelle Municipal Abattoir, animal owners and all individuals who rendered help during the study period.

REFERENCES

- Aiello SE, May A (1998). The Merck Veterinary Manual. 8th edition. New Jersey. USA: Merck and Co, Inc., pp.1053-1057.
- Ames TR, Baker JC, Wikes SE (2002). The bronchopneumonia (Respiratory disease complex of cattle, sheep, and goats). Mosby Inc. St Louis, pp. 551-570.
- Angen OM, Quine W, Donachie BM (1999b). Investigations on the species specificity of *Mannheimia (pasteurella) haemolytica* serotyping. Vet. Microbiol. 65:283-290.
- Aschalew Z (1998). A study on Pneumonic Pasteurellosis in North Shewa. Debre-Zeit: DVM thesis, FVM, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia, 59.
- Baundreaux CM (2004). A novel strategy of controlling bovine pneumonic pasteurellosis transfecting the upper respiratory tract of cattle with a gene coding for the antimicrobial peptide cecropin. MSc thesis, Louisiana State University, USA.
- Biberstin L, Hirsh C (1999). Pasteurella. In; Veterinary Microbiology. Black Science Inc. USA, pp.135-139.
- Blackall PJ, Christensen CH, Buckingham L, Blackall L, Bisgaard M (2005). Reclassification of *pasteurella galhanaun* (Haemophilus) paragallinarum, *pasteurella ovum* and *Pasteurella volantium* as *Auibacter*, comb.nov. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microb. 55:253-362.
- Bruere AN, West D, Rieller AL (2002). Epizootic pneumonia. In the sheep health disease and production written for veterinarians and former, veterinary continuing education No. 2. palmerston North, Anassey University, pp.100-108.
- Central Statistical Agency (CSA) (2009). The Federal democratic republic of Ethiopia central statistical agency, agriculture in figure key findings of the 2008/09-2010/11 agricultural sample surveys for all sectors and seasons country summary, FDRECSA Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, pp.14-18.
- Daniel JA, Held JE, Boake DG, Wulf DM, Epperson WB (2006). Evaluation of the prevalence and onset of lung lesion and their impact on growth of lambs. Am. J. Vet. Res. 67:890-894.
- Disassa H, Takele T, Adem A (2013). Study on Ovine pneumonic pasteurellosis: Isolation and Identification of Pasteurellae and their antibiogram susceptibility pattern in Haramaya District, Eastern Hararghe, Ethiopia. BMC Vet. Res. Pp. 9-239.
- Eshetu M (1991). Pneumonic Pasteurellosis in sheep slaughtered at Addis Ababa abattoir. DVM thesis, FVM, Debre-Zeit, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia.
- Jones TC, Hunt RD, King MW (1997). *Pasteurella multocida* pneumonia seminar in Respiratory Infections, 12:54-56.
- Lobago F, Bekana B, Gustafsson H, Kindahl H (2006). Reproductive performance of dairy cows in small holder production system in Selalle, central Ethiopia. Trop. Anim. Prod. Health 38:333-342.
- Mohamed RA, Abdelsalam EB (2008). A review on pneumonic pasteurellosis (respiratory manheimiosis) with emphasis on pathogenesis, virulence mechanisms and predisposing factors. Bulg. J. Vet. Med. 11(3):139-160.
- Mohammed R (1999). Bacteriological and histological examination of pneumonic lungs of small ruminants slaughtered at Hashim Nure export abattoir. Debre-Zeit: DVM thesis, FVM, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia, p. 21.
- Nurhusein Y (2005). Aerobic bacterial Flora in the respiratory tract of

- apparently healthy sheep slaughtered in Dessie Abattor, North Eastern Ethiopia. Debre-Zeit: DVM thesis, FVM, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia.
- Quinn PJ, Markey BK, Carter ME, Donnelly WJ, Lonard FC (2002). Veterinary Microbiology and Microbial Disease, Blackwell, Science, pp.137-143.
- Radostitis OM, Blood DC, Gay CC (1994). Diseases of the respiratory system .In text book of the disease cattle, sheep, pigs, goats and horses. 8thed. Bailliere Tindal, London, pp. 391-420.
- Radostitis O, Gay C, Blood D, Hinchcliff K (2000). Veterinary Medicine: A Textbook of Diseases of Cattle, Sheep, Pigs, Goats and Horses, 9th edn, W.B. Saunders, London, pp. 1550-1600.
- Sisay T, Zerihun A (2003). Diversity of *M. haemolytica* and *P.trehalosi* serotypes from apparently health seep and abattoir specimens in the high lands of Wollo, North East Ethiopia. Vet. Res. Commun. 27:3-14.
- Tesfaye S (1997). Serological and bacteriological investigation of *M. haemolytica* serotypes in sheep in the high lands of Wollo, North Eastern Ethiopia. DVM Thesis, AAU, FVM, Debre-Zeit, Ethiopia, pp.1-65.
- Thomson RC (1998). Respiratory system In. Special Veterinary pathology 2nd ed. B. C. Decker inc. Philadelphia USA, pp.117-150.
- Tilaye D (2010). Pathological, bacteriological and serological study on pneumonic sheep and goats with special emphasis on *Mannheimia*, *Babersteinia*, *Pasteurella* and *Mycoplasma* species. MSc Thesis, AAU, FVM, Debre Zeit, Ethiopia, pp.1-97.
- Zewdie S (2004). Current status of veterinary services in Ethiopia. In proceedings of a national workshop on managing animal health constraint to export marketing of meat and livestock.

African Journal of Bacteriology Research

Related Journals Published by Academic Journals

- *Journal of Cell and Animal Biology*
- *International Journal of Genetics and Molecular Biology*
- *African Journal of Microbiology Research*
- *Journal of Evolutionary Biology Research*
- *International Journal of Biodiversity and Conservation*
- *Journal of Enzymology and Metabolism*
- *Journal of Environmental Microbiology and Microbial Ecology*

academicJournals